

# RatingsDirect®

---

## Research Update:

# Belgian Region of Brussels-Capital 'AA' Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Remains Stable

### Primary Credit Analyst:

Loic Le Mercier, Paris (33) 1-4075-2563; loic.lemercier@spglobal.com

### Secondary Contact:

Mehdi Fadli, Paris (33) 1-4420-6706; mehdi.fadli@spglobal.com

## Table Of Contents

---

Overview

Rating Action

Outlook

Rationale

Key Statistics

Ratings Score Snapshot

Key Sovereign Statistics

Related Criteria

Related Research

Ratings List

## Research Update:

# Belgian Region of Brussels-Capital 'AA' Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Remains Stable

## Overview

- In 2017, thanks to its highly efficient financial management, the Belgian Region of Brussels-Capital posted stronger budgetary results than our previous base-case forecast.
- The region continues to maintain a very favorable liquidity situation.
- We are affirming our 'AA' long-term rating on Brussels-Capital.
- The stable outlook reflects our expectation that Brussels-Capital will continue to post a strong budgetary performance in 2018-2020, with limited deficits after capital accounts.

## Rating Action

On March 23, 2018, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AA' long-term issuer credit rating on Belgium's Region of Brussels-Capital. The outlook remains stable.

## Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our base-case expectation that Brussels-Capital will maintain high operating performance and moderate deficits after capital accounts until 2020, while structurally posting a favorable liquidity position.

## Downside scenario

We might consider a negative rating action in the next 24 months if we observe a structural deterioration in Brussels-Capital's budgetary performance, leading to rising debt. This could, for example, be due to the region's looser monitoring of government-related entities (GREs) that are within its consolidation scope or its unwillingness to use its own expenditure flexibility, showing a deterioration in its strict financial monitoring.

If we lowered our ratings on the Kingdom of Belgium (unsolicited AA/Stable/A-1+), or revised the outlook on Belgium to negative, we would take a similar action on Brussels-Capital. This is in accordance with our methodology for rating local and regional governments (LRGs) and their related sovereigns, under which we cap the long-term ratings and outlooks on Belgian LRGs at the level of those on the sovereign (see "Methodology: Rating Non-U.S.

Local And Regional Governments Higher Than The Sovereign," published Dec. 15, 2014, on RatingsDirect). In our view, Belgium's institutional and financial framework does not enable us to rate any Belgian LRGs above the sovereign.

### **Upside scenario**

We could consider a positive rating action in the next 24 months if we took a similar action on Belgium, and if Brussels-Capital posted structural surpluses after capital accounts in 2018-2020, enabling it to structurally maintain tax-supported debt under 60% of consolidated operating revenues or its direct debt to operating balance below 3x.

### **Rationale**

Our rating on Brussels-Capital primarily reflects our view of the region's tight financial management, with high sophistication and optimization in terms of debt, liquidity, and guarantee management. We believe the quality of management will enable the region to increase high investments over 2018-2020, while limiting debt accumulation and keeping its very favorable liquidity. We also think that the region will continue to benefit from a supportive institutional framework and a solid economy.

### **Financial management, the institutional framework, and the economy remain key strengths for Brussels-Capital**

Brussels-Capital has an attractive and diversified economy, which translates into very high GDP per capita that we estimate at about €66,900 in 2017. Nevertheless, Brussels-Capital shows a less favorable socioeconomic profile than its international peers, with a structurally high unemployment rate well exceeding 15%, in spite of a positive trend in the past three years.

We consider that Brussels-Capital benefits from the maturity and stability of the institutional system for Belgian regions and communities, and a generally good revenue and expenditure balance. In our opinion, Belgium's sixth state reform--including the devolving of new responsibilities to regions and communities and greater financial autonomy to regions--has demonstrated the system's predictability. Institutional discussions on the reform started in 2007, but the budgetary effects were felt only from 2015. We think that the reform also illustrates the ability of Belgian LRGs to influence the central government's policy.

Brussels-Capital has shown its capacity to handle new responsibilities under the sixth state reform while keeping spending under control, thanks to its very strong financial management. We view positively the region's political and managerial strength, reliable budgeting, close oversight of intra-annual budget execution, prudent and sophisticated debt management, very efficient and optimized liquidity management, and close monitoring of GREs and other contingent risks, including its well-defined and active guarantee management system.

**After a high surplus in 2017, we anticipate limited deficits, leading to low debt intake**

In 2017, we estimate that the region outperformed our previous base-case forecast in terms of budgetary metrics. Its operating balance reached 17% of operating revenues (based on Brussels-Capital actual figures adjusted by S&P Global Ratings), versus 13% in our previous base-case scenario. This was mainly due to a decrease in its consolidated operating expenditures by more than 5% compared to 2016. Capital expenditure also reached lower levels than expected at €0.6 billion versus €1.1 billion in 2016. The fall in expenditure mainly happened at the GRE level--such as the municipality fund, Fonds régional bruxellois de refinancement des trésoreries communales (FRBRTC) and the employment office Actiris--but also reflected savings on Brussels-Capital's direct responsibilities (for example, on local entity funding). In our view, these improvements partly consist of one-off effects related to the enhanced economic context, and they may not repeat in 2018. Those developments allowed the region to post a high surplus after capital accounts of 8% of total revenues, while our previous base-case scenario anticipated a 2% deficit. However, we do not believe this surplus is structural or recurring, as we expect both operating and capital expenditure will recover in 2018 for the duration of our forecast.

From 2018 onward, we expect that Brussels-Capital will moderate growth of operating expenditure to about 2% annually (excluding the transfer of new responsibilities). Under our base-case scenario, we therefore anticipate a good consolidated operating surplus of 12% of consolidated operating revenues in 2020, which is in line with our former base case. In our forecast, we adjust for the property tax that the region starts to collect in 2018 on behalf of cities (estimated at €900 million) as we consider this a pass-through item.

We also expect that incurred capital expenditure will increase to about €1.3 billion annually in 2018-2020, at a slower pace than our previous base-case forecast of €1.4 billion. Therefore, we anticipate deficits after capital accounts will remain moderate, at about 4% of total revenues on average in 2018-2020.

To maintain good budgetary performance, we think that Brussels-Capital could use its average budgetary flexibility if needed. Its modifiable tax revenues, comprising the supplementary tax on personal income tax (PIT) and regional taxes, account for around 50% of its consolidated operating revenues. Still, we believe that Brussels-Capital would be less willing to tap its tax leeway and more likely to use its spending flexibility if needed, especially regarding capital expenditure, which we expect will account for 25% of total consolidated expenditures in 2018-2020.

Thanks to its strong budgetary performance, Brussels-Capital's consolidated tax-supported debt will likely only slightly increase to 101% of consolidated operating revenues in 2020, compared with 88% in 2016. Brussels-Capital's tax-supported debt includes the debt of the FRBRTC, which is fully

consolidated under the European system of national and regional accounts 2010 (ESA 2010). FRBRTC lends the majority of its debt proceeds on to self-supporting municipalities in the region. This on-lent debt currently accounts for about 12% of Brussels-Capital's consolidated operating revenues.

Brussels-Capital currently benefits from a direct multiyear €1.5 billion account facility and FRBRTC also holds €225 million in liquidity lines. We expect the debt service coverage ratio to remain strong, with the amounts available under this account facility and the region's cash holdings covering far more than 120% of its consolidated debt service (including FRBRTC's short- and long-term debt repayments) in the next 12 months. In the next few months, the region is planning to reduce its direct multiyear account ceiling, but this will not affect its liquidity coverage, in our view. We also think that the region has strong access to external funding via the financial markets, especially through its medium-term note program, its Belgian commercial paper program, and its access to investors in Schuldschein loans.

We consider Brussels-Capital's contingent liabilities to be moderate. They mainly relate to the region's exposure to social housing mortgage companies, such as the Fonds du Logement de la Région de Bruxelles-Capitale, and a relatively financially weak municipal sector. In contrast with ESA 2010 treatment of social housing mortgage companies, we do not include their debt in the region's consolidated tax-supported debt, because we view them as self-supporting. The region's financial guarantees, mainly for social housing mortgage companies, accounted for about 30% of its consolidated operating revenues at year-end 2017. In assessing the region's contingent liabilities, we also factor in the financial situation of the municipal sector, which we view as having some weaknesses. We will also continue to monitor the potential risks that could emerge from the significant financial change faced by the public body, Commission Communautaire Commune, which saw its budget increase to nearly €1.3 billion in 2015 under the sixth state reform, from €100 million in 2014.

## Key Statistics

**Table 1**

| Region of Brussels-Capital Key Statistics            |                             |        |         |         |         |
|--|-----------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
|  | --Fiscal year end Dec. 31-- |        |         |         |         |
| (Mil. €)   | 2016                        | 2017bc | 2018bc  | 2019bc  | 2020bc  |
| Operating revenues                                   | 4,349                       | 4,286  | 4,386   | 4,449   | 4,518   |
| Operating expenditures                               | 3,765                       | 3,559  | 3,842   | 3,911   | 3,990   |
| Operating balance                                    | 583                         | 728    | 544     | 537     | 528     |
| Operating balance (% of operating revenues)          | 13.4                        | 17     | 12.4    | 12.1    | 11.7    |
| Capital revenues                                     | 538                         | 249    | 516     | 569     | 572     |
| Capital expenditures                                 | 1,133                       | 621    | 1,253   | 1,313   | 1,325   |
| Balance after capital accounts                       | (12.0)                      | 356.0  | (193.0) | (207.0) | (224.0) |
| Balance after capital accounts (% of total revenues) | (0.2)                       | 7.8    | (3.9)   | (4.1)   | (4.4)   |

**Table 1**

| Region of Brussels-Capital Key Statistics (cont.)         |                             |        |        |        |        |
|---|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|   | --Fiscal year end Dec. 31-- |        |        |        |        |
| (Mil. €)  | 2016                        | 2017bc | 2018bc | 2019bc | 2020bc |
| Debt repaid   | 649                         | 681    | 627    | 721    | 690    |
| Gross borrowings  | 601                         | 498    | 720    | 928    | 914    |
| Balance after borrowings                                  | (59)                        | 173    | (100)  | 0      | 0      |
| Modifiable revenues (% of operating revenues)             | 48.5                        | 54.2   | 52.4   | 52     | 51.6   |
| Capital expenditures (% of total expenditures)            | 23.1                        | 14.9   | 24.6   | 25.1   | 24.9   |
| Direct debt (outstanding at year-end)                     | 3,842                       | 4,046  | 4,139  | 4,346  | 4,570  |
| Direct debt (% of operating revenues)                     | 88.3                        | 94.4   | 94.4   | 97.7   | 101.1  |
| Tax-supported debt (outstanding at year-end)              | 3,873                       | 4,075  | 4,169  | 4,376  | 4,600  |
| Tax-supported debt (% of consolidated operating revenues) | 89.1                        | 95.1   | 95     | 98.4   | 101.8  |
| Interest (% of operating revenues)                        | 3.9                         | 3.5    | 3.2    | 3.3    | 3.4    |
| Local GDP per capita (€)                                  | 64,729                      | 66,877 | 68,290 | 69,566 | 70,991 |
| National GDP per capita (€)                               | 37,545                      | 38,718 | 39,843 | 40,903 | 42,064 |

The data and ratios above result in part from S&P Global Ratings' own calculations, drawing on national as well as international sources, reflecting S&P Global Ratings' independent view on the timeliness, coverage, accuracy, credibility, and usability of available information. The main sources are the financial statements and budgets, as provided by the issuer. bc--Base case reflects S&P Global Ratings' expectations of the most likely scenario.

## Ratings Score Snapshot

**Table 2**

| Region of Brussels-Capital Ratings Score Snapshot |                                    |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Key Rating Factors                                |                                    |
| Institutional Framework                           | Very predictable and well-balanced |
| Economy   | Strong                             |
| Financial Management                              | Very strong                        |
| Budgetary Flexibility                             | Average                            |
| Budgetary Performance                             | Strong                             |
| Liquidity   | Exceptional                        |
| Debt Burden                                       | Moderate                           |
| Contingent Liabilities                            | Moderate                           |

\*S&P Global Ratings' credit ratings on local and regional governments are based on eight main rating factors listed in the table above. Section A of S&P Global Ratings' "Methodology For Rating Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments" summarizes how the eight factors are combined to derive the rating.

## Key Sovereign Statistics

- Belgium 'AA/A-1+' Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Stable - Jan. 12, 2018

## **Related Criteria**

- Criteria - Governments - International Public Finance: Methodology: Rating Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments Higher Than The Sovereign, Dec. 15, 2014
- Criteria - Governments - International Public Finance: Methodology For Rating Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments, June 30, 2014
- General Criteria: Ratings Above The Sovereign--Corporate And Government Ratings: Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria - Governments - International Public Finance: Methodology And Assumptions For Analyzing The Liquidity Of Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments And Related Entities And For Rating Their Commercial Paper Programs, Oct. 15, 2009
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009
- Criteria - Governments - International Public Finance: Methodology And Assumptions: The Impact Of PPP Projects On International Local And Regional Governments: Refined Accounting Treatment, Dec. 15, 2008

## **Related Research**

- Institutional Framework Assessments For Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments - September 21, 2017
- Belgium 'AA/A-1+' Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Stable - Jan. 12, 2018
- 2016 Annual Non-U.S. Local And Regional Government Default Study And Rating Transitions - May 8, 2017

In accordance with our relevant policies and procedures, the Rating Committee was composed of analysts that are qualified to vote in the committee, with sufficient experience to convey the appropriate level of knowledge and understanding of the methodology applicable (see 'Related Criteria And Research'). At the onset of the committee, the chair confirmed that the information provided to the Rating Committee by the primary analyst had been distributed in a timely manner and was sufficient for Committee members to make an informed decision.

After the primary analyst gave opening remarks and explained the recommendation, the Committee discussed key rating factors and critical issues in accordance with the relevant criteria. Qualitative and quantitative risk factors were considered and discussed, looking at track-record and forecasts.

The committee agreed that all key rating factors were unchanged.

The chair ensured every voting member was given the opportunity to articulate his/her opinion. The chair or designee reviewed the draft report to ensure

consistency with the Committee decision. The views and the decision of the rating committee are summarized in the above rationale and outlook. The weighting of all rating factors is described in the methodology used in this rating action (see 'Related Criteria and Research').

## **Ratings List**

Ratings Affirmed

Brussels-Capital (Region of)

Issuer Credit Rating

AA/Stable/--

### **Additional Contact:**

SovereignEurope; SovereignEurope@spglobal.com

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at [www.capitaliq.com](http://www.capitaliq.com). All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on the S&P Global Ratings' public website at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com). Use the Ratings search box located in the left column. Alternatively, call one of the following S&P Global Ratings numbers: Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44) 20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; Stockholm (46) 8-440-5914; or Moscow 7 (495) 783-4009.



Copyright © 2018 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.